IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF FAIRFIELD COUNTY, OHIO PROBATE DIVISION

TERRE L. VANDERVOORT, JUDGE

GU	ARE	DIANSHIP OF
CA	SE I	NO
		ENTRY REGARDING GUARDIAN'S BOND [Local Rule 75.2(G)]
	The Court grants the Application and dispenses with the requirement of bond in this case for the following rea	
		Bond is not required under Local Rule 75.2(G)(1) because the controlling instrument nominating the Applicant for appointment as Guardian expressly dispenses with bond.
		Bond is not required under Local Rule 75.2(G)(3) because the total value of personal property, annual income and annual real property rentals is less than \$10,000.00.
		Bond is not required under Local Rule $75.2(G)(4)$ because there is no personal property and the Applicant has provided proof that all income is paid directly to a lawful representative payee or to a health care facility providing for the long-term care of the ward.
		Bond is not required under Local Rule 75.2(G)(5) because the Applicant has agreed to deposit all assets into a restricted account at a financial institution in compliance with R.C. §2109.13. Once appointed by this Court, the Applicant must promptly file a written verification of deposit restrictions to the Court (SC Form 22.3).
		Under Local Rule 75.2(G)(6), the Court finds that special circumstances exist in this case, as described in the Application, which establish that bond is clearly unnecessary and the absence of bond will not prejudice any person or entity having a financial interest in this case.
		Court denies the application, but modifies the requirement of bond. In place of bond, the Court orders that apply upon appointment the Applicant must do the following to preserve the ward's assets:
	_	
	The	Court denies the application. The Court orders the Applicant to post bond in the amount of \$
Date		Terre L. Vandervoort, Probate Judge